REMARKS

This amendment is submitted in response to the Examiner's Final Office Action dated August 12, 2004. Applicants have amended the claims by rewriting one conditionally allowed claim in independent form and incorporating allowable features of other dependent claims (now canceled) into their respective independent claims. These amendments overcome the claim rejections and make the claims allowable. Applicants respectfully request entry of the amendments to the claims.

ALLOWABLE SUBJECT MATTER

At paragraph 5 of the Office Action, Examiner states that Claims 5, 7-9, 13, 15-21 and 28-30 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form. Accordingly, Applicants have amended Claim 5 by rewriting it in independent form. Applicants have also incorporated the allowable features from other conditionally-allowed dependent claims (now canceled) into their respective independent claims. The amendments place all of the claims in condition for allowance, and Applicants respectfully request Examiner extend the allowance to cover all pending claims.

5 4 5 5 6 7 13

CLAIMS REJECTIONS UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 102

At paragraph 1 of the present Office Action, Claims 1-3, 10-12 and 22-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by *Smith* (U.S. Patent No. 5,923,327). Since each independent claim now recites allowable subject matter, the above rejection is moot.

CLAIM REJECTIONS UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 103

At paragraph 2 of the present Office Action, Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Smith* in view of *Shachar* (U.S. Patent No. 5,764,736). Also, Claims 6, 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Smith* in view of *Farros* (U.S. Patent No. 6,717,868). Further, Claims 26 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Smith* in view of *Farros* in view of *Schachar*.

Again, the above rejections are rendered most by the incorporation of allowable features into the various independent claims form which these present claims depend.

Docket No. AUS920000880U\$1

Amendment B
Expedited Procedure

Serial No. 09/833,338

CONCLUSION

arcollect. 100

West (1877)

Applicants have diligently responded to the Office Action by amending the independent claims to recite features identified as allowable by the Examiner. The amendments overcome the §102 and §103 rejections and places all claims in condition for allowance. Applicants, therefore, respectfully request issuance of a Notice of Allowance for all claims now pending.

Applicants also request the Examiner contact the undersigned attorney of record at 512.343.6116 if such would further or expedite the prosecution of the present Application.

Respectfully submitted,

Eustace P. Isidore

Reg. No. 56, 104

DILLON & YUDELL LLP

8911 North Capital of Texas Highway

Suite 2110

Austin, Texas, 78759

512.343.6116

ATTORNEY FOR APPLICANT(S)

Thin is 1, 125

Maria .

have the 3. . 5 - 15

Docket No. AUS920000880US1

Amendment B **Expedited Procedure** -12 - ...

Serial No. 09/833,338

Events D&Y - Listing with Children

Matter ID/Client Sort Matter Description

Initials

0116AD.AU\$920000880U\$1 / IBM SR, EPI Business Card Presentation Via Mobile Telephone 09/833,338

Event Date: 8/12/2004 Completed Date:

Final Office Action from Patent and Trademark Office

Due Date	Completed Date	Notes
9/13/2004		1-Mo.Response to final Office Action due (extensions available)
11/12/2004		Notice of Appeal from final Office Action due, unless otherwise ordered (extensions available)
11/12/2004		Advisory Action recd?
11/12/2004		File Continued Prosecution Application (CPA)
11/12/2004		File Request for Continued Examination (RCE)
2/11/2005		SC: Next O.A.? Allowed ?
2/14/2005		Last day to respond to final Office Action to avoid application becoming abandoned
2/14/2005		Last day for Appellant to file appeal brief (extensions available)
5/12/2005		Examiner's Answer rec'd?